

1 Michael S. Sorgen (State Bar No. 43107)
Emily E. Arnold-Fernandez (State Bar No. 237241)
2 LAW OFFICES OF MICHAEL S. SORGEN
240 Stockton Street, 9th Floor
3 San Francisco, California 94108
415-956-1360 phone
415-956-6342 fax
4

5 ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS
6
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 ASSOCIATED STUDENTS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT
12 SANTA BARBARA,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 THE REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY
OF CALIFORNIA and ROBERT DYNES,

16 Defendants.
17

Case No. C 05 4352 SI

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
TO AMEND DATES IN CASE
MANAGEMENT ORDER

Date: March 22, 2006
Courtroom: 10

18 WHEREAS, Plaintiff is concerned because the current Case Management Scheduling Order gives
19 Plaintiff only 16 days, including Labor Day, to simultaneously prepare a Summary Judgment
20 Opposition and Reply; and
21

22 WHEREAS, Plaintiff proposed to Defendant that both parties file their Cross Motions for
23 Summary Judgment Oppositions and Replies simultaneously, but Defendant felt that filing the
24 Motions separately and in sequence would make a more orderly presentation to the Court; and

25 WHEREAS, Defendant also has offered to file its Motion for Summary Judgment first but to
26 expand the time for its Opposition and Reply; and
27
28

1 WHEREAS, the parties' stipulated proposal would amend the briefing schedule without changing
2 the hearing date for the Motions for Summary Judgment;

3
4 NOW, THEREFORE, the parties have agreed to amend the briefing dates in the Case
5 Management Scheduling Order, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

6 July 21, 2006: Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, not to exceed
7 20 pages;

8 August 21, 2006: Plaintiff's Opposition and Cross Motion for Summary
9 Judgment, submitted in a single brief combining the
opposition and motion, not to exceed 25 pages;

10 September 21, 2006: Defendant's Reply and Opposition to Cross Motion for
11 Summary Judgment, submitted in a single brief combining
the reply and opposition, not to exceed 15 pages;

12 October 5, 2006: Plaintiff's Reply, not to exceed 10 pages;


13 October 27, 2006: Hearing on Cross Motions for Summary Judgment
14 9:00 AM

15
16 Dated: March 15, 2006 /s/Michael S. Sorgen
17 Michael S. Sorgen
18 Attorney for Plaintiff Associated Students of the
University of California at Santa Barbara

19 Dated: March 22, 2006 /s/Christopher M. Patti
20 Christopher M. Patti
21 Attorney for Defendants The Regents of the
University of California and Robert Dynes

22 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO ORDERED

23
24
25 Dated: March __, 2006



26 Hon. Susan Illston
27 United States District Judge
28